



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs*

---

**2011/0242(COD)**

7.5.2012

**\*\*\*I**

## **ORIENTATION VOTE RESULT**

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation (EC) No 562/2006 in order to provide for common rules on the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders in exceptional circumstances  
(COM(2011)0560 – C7-0248/2011 – 2011/0242(COD))

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

Rapporteur: Renate Weber

### ***Symbols for procedures***

- \* Consultation procedure
- \*\*\* Consent procedure
- \*\*\*I Ordinary legislative procedure (first reading)
- \*\*\*II Ordinary legislative procedure (second reading)
- \*\*\*III Ordinary legislative procedure (third reading)

(The type of procedure depends on the legal basis proposed by the draft act.)

### ***Amendments to a draft act***

In amendments by Parliament, amendments to draft acts are highlighted in ***bold italics***. Highlighting in *normal italics* is an indication for the relevant departments showing parts of the draft act which may require correction when the final text is prepared – for instance, obvious errors or omissions in a language version. Suggested corrections of this kind are subject to the agreement of the departments concerned.

The heading for any amendment to an existing act that the draft act seeks to amend includes a third line identifying the existing act and a fourth line identifying the provision in that act that Parliament wishes to amend. Passages in an existing act that Parliament wishes to amend, but that the draft act has left unchanged, are highlighted in **bold**. Any deletions that Parliament wishes to make in such passages are indicated thus: [...].

## CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION.....	5



## DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

**on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation (EC) No 562/2006 in order to provide for common rules on the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders in exceptional circumstances**

**(COM(2011)0560 – C7-0248/2011 – 2011/0242(COD))**

**(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2011)0560),
  - having regard to Article 294(2) and Articles 77(1) and 77(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C7-0248/2011),
  - having regard to Article 294(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
  - having regard to the reasoned opinions submitted, within the framework of the Protocol (No 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, by the French National Assembly, Netherlands Senate, Netherlands House of Representatives, Portuguese Parliament, Romanian Senate, Slovak Parliament, Swedish Parliament, asserting that the draft legislative act does not comply with the principle of subsidiarity,
  - having regard to Rule 55 of its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (A7-0000/2012),
1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;
  2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend its proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
  3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

## Amendment 1

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) The creation of an area in which the free movement of persons across internal borders is ensured is one of the main achievements of the European Union. In such an area without controls at internal borders, it is necessary to have a common response to situations seriously affecting the public policy or internal security of the European Union or of one or more Member States by allowing for the reintroduction of border control at internal borders in exceptional circumstances, but without jeopardising the principle of the free movement of persons. A **common Union** response is therefore needed, given the impact that such measures of last resort may have on all persons having the right to circulate within this area without border control at internal borders.

*Amendment*

(1) The creation of an area in which the free movement of persons across internal borders is ensured is one of the main achievements of the European Union. In such an area without controls at internal borders, it is necessary to have a common response to situations seriously affecting the public policy or internal security of the European Union or of one or more Member States by allowing for the reintroduction of border control at internal borders in exceptional circumstances, but without jeopardising the principle of the free movement of persons. A **coordinated** response is therefore needed, given the impact that such measures of last resort may have on all persons having the right to circulate within this area without border control at internal borders.

## Amendment 2

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) Free movement within the area without internal border controls is a key Union achievement. As free movement is affected by the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders, any decision to do so should be **taken at the Union level**. In any case, reintroduction of border control at internal borders should only take place as a measure of last resort, for a strictly limited scope and period of time, based on specific objective criteria and on an assessment of its necessity which should be made **at Union level**. In cases where the serious threat to public policy or internal

*Amendment*

(2) Free movement within the area without internal border controls is a key Union achievement. As free movement is affected by the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders, any decision to do so should be **coordinated**. In any case, reintroduction of border control at internal borders should only take place as a measure of last resort, for a strictly limited scope and period of time, based on specific objective criteria and on an assessment of its necessity which should be made **in a coordinated manner**. In cases where the serious threat to public policy or internal

security requires immediate action, a Member State should be able to reintroduce border control at its internal borders for a period not exceeding *five* days, any prolongation of which needs to be decided *at Union level*.

security requires immediate action, a Member State should be able to reintroduce border control at its internal borders for a period not exceeding *ten* days, any prolongation of which needs to be decided *in a coordinated manner*.

### Amendment 3

#### Proposal for a regulation Recital 5

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

*(5) The situations can arise where a large numbers of third country nationals cross the external border of one or more Member States. This might result in an unexpected and significant increase in secondary movements of third country nationals found to be irregularly staying in the territory of another Member State or States. Taking into account the number of Member States affected by such an unexpected and significant increase in secondary movements, and the overall impact of this increase on the migratory situation in the Union or in an individual Member State, it may be considered necessary to temporarily reintroduce border control at internal borders where the circumstances would be such as to constitute a serious threat to public policy or to internal security at the Union or national level. The crossing of the external border of a large number of third-country nationals might, in exceptional circumstances, justify the immediate reintroduction of some internal border controls, if such a measure is needed to safeguard public policy and internal security at the Union or national level from a serious and urgent threat.*

##### *Amendment*

*(5) Migration and* the crossing of external borders *by* a large number of third-country nationals *should not per se be considered to be a threat to* public policy *or* internal security.

## Amendment 4

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 5 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5a) Full free movement within the area without internal border controls should be guaranteed and the reintroduction by Member States of border control at internal borders on political grounds should be avoided. Based on the experience gathered so far with respect to the functioning of the area without border controls the Commission should draw up guidelines on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders, both in cases which require such a measure as a temporary reaction and in cases where immediate action is needed. The Commission should draw up such guidelines in order to ensure coherent implementation of the Schengen rules. The guidelines should provide clear indicators to facilitate the assessment of threats to public policy and internal security.***

## Amendment 5

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 6

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(6) The temporary reintroduction of certain controls at internal borders could also be a response to serious deficiencies ***identified by Schengen evaluations*** in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis, ***where the circumstances would be such as to constitute a serious threat to public policy or to internal security at the Union or national level.***

(6) The temporary reintroduction of certain controls at internal borders could also be a response to serious deficiencies ***detected in the framework of a rigorous evaluation process***, in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis, ***as a measure of last resort.***



## Amendment 6

### Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) Before any decision is taken on the temporary reintroduction of certain controls at internal borders, the possibility of resorting to measures aimed at addressing the underlying situation, including assistance by Union bodies such as Frontex or Europol, and technical or financial support measures at the national and/or Union level, should be fully explored. Moreover, any decision to reintroduce internal border control should be based on substantiated information, which may be provided by the Member ***State requesting the reintroduction***, or come from other sources, including inspection visits.

*Amendment*

(7) Before any decision is taken on the temporary reintroduction of certain controls at internal borders, the possibility of resorting to measures aimed at addressing the underlying situation, including assistance by Union bodies such as Frontex or Europol, and technical or financial support measures at the national and/or Union level, should be fully explored ***in a timely manner***. Moreover, any decision to reintroduce internal border control should be based on substantiated information, which may be provided by the Member ***States***, or come from other sources, including inspection visits.

## Amendment 7

### Proposal for a regulation Recital 7 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(7a) In an area where persons can move freely, the reintroduction of controls at internal borders should remain an exception.***

## Amendment 8

### Proposal for a regulation Recital 7 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(7b) It is necessary for the Commission to***

*present at least an annual overview to the Union institutions on the functioning of the Schengen area. This should provide the basis for an annual debate in the European Parliament and in the Council and contribute to the strengthening of political guidance and cooperation in the Schengen area.*

## Amendment 9

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(8) *In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. These powers should be exercised* in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers. *Except in cases of urgency, and in view of the terms of Article 2(2)(b)(iii) of that Regulation, the examination procedure is applicable.*

*Amendment*

(8) *For cases of persistent serious deficiencies identified in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation (EU) No .../2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of [...] [on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis] and in order to ensure uniform conditions and democratic scrutiny, a European mechanism for the reintroduction of temporary and exceptional border controls at internal borders should be established. Under that mechanism, the Commission should transmit to the European Parliament and to the Council a recommendation assessing the necessity for the reintroduction of border control at internal borders as a measure of last resort. The European Parliament and the Council should present their opinions within one month after which the Commission should be able to decide on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders by way of implementing acts, in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing*

powers. ***In*** view of the terms of Article 2(2)(b)(iii) of that Regulation, the examination procedure ***should be used for the adoption of those implementing acts.***

## Amendment 10

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 9

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***(9) The Commission should adopt immediately applicable implementing acts where, in duly justified cases related to the imminence of the threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, imperative grounds of urgency so require.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

## Amendment 11

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Where in the area without border control at internal borders there is a serious threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, border control at internal borders may exceptionally be reintroduced at all or specific parts of the internal borders of one or several Member States for a limited period of no more than 30 days or for the foreseeable duration of the serious threat if its duration exceeds the period of 30 days. The scope and duration of the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders shall not exceed what is strictly necessary to respond to the serious threat.

*Amendment*

1. Where in the area without border control at internal borders there is a serious ***and imminent*** threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, border control at internal borders may exceptionally be reintroduced at all or specific parts of the internal borders of one or several Member States for a limited period of no more than 30 days or for the foreseeable duration of the serious ***and imminent*** threat if its duration exceeds the period of 30 days. The scope and duration of the temporary reintroduction of border control at internal borders shall not exceed what is strictly necessary to respond to the serious threat.

## Amendment 12

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23 – paragraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Border control at internal borders may only be reintroduced in accordance with the procedures *foreseen* in Articles 24, 25 and 26 of this Regulation. The criteria listed in Article 23a ***must be taken into account*** in each case where a decision on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders is contemplated.

#### *Amendment*

2. Border control at internal borders may only be reintroduced ***as a last resort, subject to and*** in accordance with the procedures *provided for* in Articles 24, 25 and 26 of this Regulation. The criteria listed in Article 23a ***shall apply*** in each case where a decision on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders is contemplated.

## Amendment 13

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23 – paragraph 3

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. If the serious threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level persists beyond the period provided for in paragraph 1, border control at internal borders may, taking account of the criteria listed in Article 23a, be prolonged on the same grounds as those referred to in paragraph 1 and, taking into account any new elements, for renewable periods of up to 30 days.

#### *Amendment*

3. If the serious ***and imminent*** threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level persists beyond the period provided for in paragraph 1, border control at internal borders may, taking account of the criteria listed in Article 23a ***and the procedure provided for in Article 24***, be prolonged on the same grounds as those referred to in paragraph 1 and, taking into account any new elements, for renewable periods of up to 30 days.

## Amendment 14

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23 – paragraph 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The total period during which border control is reintroduced at internal borders, on the basis of the initial period under paragraph 1 and prolongations under paragraph 3, shall not exceed six months. In cases *of persistent serious deficiencies related to external border control or return procedures identified in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis, the Commission can decide to extend* this period.

*Amendment*

4. The total period during which border control is reintroduced at internal borders, on the basis of the initial period under paragraph 1 and prolongations under paragraph 3, shall not exceed six months. In *the cases referred to in Article 26*, this *total period may be extended to the maximum length provided for in that Article*.

**Amendment 15**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – point 1**

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 1 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. *When deciding on* the temporary reintroduction of border control at one or more internal borders or parts thereof, the Commission, or the Member State concerned in cases referred to in Article 25 *paragraph 1*, shall assess the extent to which such a measure is likely to adequately remedy the threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, and shall assess the proportionality of the measure to that threat. This assessment shall be based on the detailed information submitted by the Member State(s) concerned and any other relevant information, including any information obtained pursuant to paragraph 2. In making such an assessment, the following considerations shall in particular

*Amendment*

1. *Before a decision is taken, always as a last resort for* the temporary reintroduction of border control at one or more internal borders or parts thereof, the Commission, *in cases referred to in Article 26*, or the Member State concerned in cases referred to in *Article 23 and* Article 25(1), shall assess the extent to which such a measure is likely to adequately remedy the threat to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, and shall assess the proportionality of the measure in relation to that threat. This assessment shall be based on the detailed information submitted by the Member State(s) concerned and any other relevant information, including any information obtained pursuant to paragraph 2. In making such an assessment, the following

be taken into account.

considerations shall in particular be taken into account:

## Amendment 16

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 1 – point a

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the likely impact of any threats to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, including following terrorist incidents or threats as well as threats posed by organised crime;

#### *Amendment*

(a) ***in cases referred to in Articles 23 and 25:***

(i) the likely impact of any ***imminent*** threats to public policy or internal security at the Union or national level, including following terrorist incidents or threats as well as threats posed by organised crime;

(ii) ***the likely impact of such a measure on free movement within the area without internal border controls;***

## Amendment 17

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 1 – point b

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the availability of technical or financial support measures which could be or have been resorted to at the national and/or European level, including assistance by Union bodies such as Frontex, the EASO or Europol, and the extent to which such measures are likely to adequately remedy the threats to public policy or internal security ***at the Union or national level;***

#### *Amendment*

(b) ***in the cases referred to in Article 26:***

(i) the availability of technical or financial

(c) the current and likely future impact of any serious deficiencies related to external border control **or return procedures** identified by Schengen evaluations in accordance with the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen *acquis*;

(d) the likely impact of such a measure on free movement within the area without internal border controls.

support measures which could be or have been resorted to at the national and/or European level, including assistance by Union bodies such as Frontex, the EASO or Europol, and the extent to which such measures are likely to adequately remedy the threats to public policy or internal security ***within the area without internal border controls***;

(ii) the current and likely future impact of any serious deficiencies related to external border control identified by Schengen evaluations in accordance with the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen *acquis*;

***(iii) the likely impact of any imminent threats to public policy or internal security within the area without internal border controls***;

(iv) the likely impact of such a measure on free movement within the area without internal border controls.

## Amendment 18

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Before ***taking a decision***, the Commission may:

(a) request Member States, Frontex, Europol, Eurojust, the Fundamental Rights Agency or any other Union body to provide it with further information,

(b) carry out inspection visits, with the support of experts from Member States and of Frontex, Europol and any other relevant European body, in order to obtain or verify information relevant for a ***decision*** to

*Amendment*

2. Before ***transmitting a recommendation in accordance with Article 26***, the Commission may:

(a) request Member States, Frontex, Europol, Eurojust, the Fundamental Rights Agency or any other Union body to provide it with further information,

(b) carry out inspection visits, with the support of experts from Member States and of Frontex, Europol and any other relevant European body, in order to obtain or verify information relevant for a

temporarily reintroduce border control at internal borders.

*recommendation* to temporarily reintroduce border control at internal borders.

## **Amendment 19**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – point 1**

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*2a. Based on the experience with respect to the functioning of the area without border controls, the Commission shall issue guidelines on the reintroduction of control at internal borders, both in cases which require such a measure on a temporary basis and in cases where an immediate action is needed.*

*The Commission shall draw up such guidelines in order to ensure coherent implementation of the Schengen rules.*

*The guidelines shall provide clear indicators to facilitate the assessment of threats to public policy and internal security.*

## **Amendment 20**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – point 1**

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 23a – paragraph 2 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*2b. The Commission, without prejudice to Article 258 TFEU, may issue an opinion on ex-post evaluation of the temporary reintroduction of border control at one or more internal borders or parts thereof.*



## Amendment 21

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Where a Member State considers that border control at internal borders should be reintroduced under Article 23(1), it shall **submit a request to** the Commission at the latest six weeks before the planned reintroduction, or within a shorter period where the circumstances giving rise to the need to reintroduce border control at internal borders do not become known until less than six weeks before the planned reintroduction, and shall supply the following information:

#### *Amendment*

1. Where a Member State considers that border control at internal borders should be reintroduced under Article 23(1), it shall **notify the other Member States and** the Commission **accordingly** at the latest six weeks before the planned reintroduction, or within a shorter period where the circumstances giving rise to the need to reintroduce border control at internal borders do not become known until less than six weeks before the planned reintroduction, and shall supply the following information:

## Amendment 22

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

Such **a request could** also be **submitted** jointly by two or more Member States.

#### *Amendment*

Such **notifications may** also be **made** jointly by two or more Member States.

## Amendment 23

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The information referred to in paragraph

#### *Amendment*

2. The information referred to in paragraph

1 shall *also* be submitted to *the Member States and* the European Parliament *at the same time as the request is made*.

1 shall be submitted *at the same time* to the European Parliament. *If necessary, the Commission may request additional information from the Member State(s) concerned*.

## Amendment 24

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Following *a request by a Member State pursuant to paragraph 1, or on its own initiative based on the information specified in sections (a) to (e) of that paragraph, the Commission shall decide on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 33a (2)*.

*Amendment*

3. Following *the notification by the Member State(s) planning to reintroduce border control and for the purpose of the consultation provided for in paragraph 4, the Commission may issue an opinion without prejudice to Article 72 TFEU*.

## Amendment 25

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. *The Commission shall decide on the prolongation of border control at internal borders. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 33a(2)*.

*Amendment*

4. *The information referred to in paragraph 1 and the opinion that the Commission may provide in accordance with paragraph 3 shall be the subject of consultations, including if requested either by the Commission or the Member States, joint meetings, between the Member State planning to reintroduce border control at internal borders, the Member States that might be affected and the Commission, with a view to ensuring mutual cooperation between Member*

*States and to examining the proportionality of the measures to the events giving rise to the reintroduction of border control and the threats to public policy or internal security.*

## Amendment 26

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 24 – paragraph 5

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*5. On duly justified grounds of urgency, related to situations where the circumstances giving rise to the need to prolong border control at internal borders, in accordance with paragraph 4, do not become known until less than 10 days before the planned prolongation, the Commission shall adopt immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 33a(3).*

*Amendment*

*5. The consultation referred to in paragraph 4 shall take place at least fifteen days before the date planned for the reintroduction of border control at internal borders.*

## Amendment 27

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 25 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Where a serious threat to public policy or internal security in a Member State demands immediate action to be taken, the Member State concerned may exceptionally and immediately reintroduce border control at internal borders, for a limited period of no more than *five* days.

*Amendment*

1. Where a serious threat to public policy or internal security in a Member State demands immediate action to be taken, the Member State concerned may exceptionally and immediately reintroduce border control at internal borders, for a limited period of no more than *ten* days.

## Amendment 28

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 25 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The Member State reintroducing border control at internal borders shall at the same time notify the other Member States and the Commission accordingly, and shall supply the information referred to in Article 24 (1) and the reasons that justify the use of this procedure. ***The Commission may consult the other Member States immediately upon receipt of the notification.***

*Amendment*

2. The Member State reintroducing border control at internal borders shall at the same time notify the other Member States, ***the European Parliament*** and the Commission accordingly, and shall supply the information referred to in Article 24 (1) and the reasons that justify the use of this procedure.

## Amendment 29

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 25 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. If the serious threat to public policy or internal ***national*** security persists beyond the period provided for in paragraph 1, the ***Commission shall*** decide on the prolongation of the border control at internal borders. ***Given the need to take immediate action after the expiry of the period provided for in paragraph 1, which constitutes an imperative ground of urgency, the Commission shall adopt immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 33a (3).***

*Amendment*

3. If the serious threat to public policy or internal security persists beyond the period provided for in paragraph 1, ***the Member State may*** decide on the prolongation of the border control at internal borders ***taking account of the criteria listed in Article 23a, including a revised assessment of the necessity and the proportionality of the measure and taking into account any new relevant elements that have arisen.***

***Article 24(2) and (4) shall apply accordingly and the consultations shall take place immediately after the notification.***

## Amendment 30

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 25 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3a. Without prejudice to Article 23(4), the total period during which border control is reintroduced at internal borders, on the basis of the initial period under paragraph 1 and prolongations under paragraph 3, shall not exceed two months.***

## Amendment 31

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 26 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. In cases where the Commission finds that there are persistent serious deficiencies related to external border control ***or return procedures*** identified in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis, and insofar as these deficiencies constitute a serious threat to public policy or internal security ***at the Union or national level***, border control at internal borders may be reintroduced for a period of no more than six months. This period can be prolonged by a further period of no more than six months if ***the*** serious deficiencies are not remedied. No more than three such prolongations will be possible.

1. In cases where the Commission finds that there are persistent serious deficiencies related to external border control identified ***in the framework of an rigorous evaluation process***, in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulation on the establishment of an evaluation and monitoring mechanism to verify the application of the Schengen acquis, ***as a measure of last resort***, and insofar as these deficiencies constitute a serious threat to public policy or internal security ***within the area without internal border controls***, border control at internal borders may be reintroduced for a period of no more than six months. This period can be prolonged by a further period of no more than six months if ***such*** serious deficiencies are not remedied. No more than three such prolongations will be possible.

## Amendment 32

## Proposal for a regulation

### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 26 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The Commission *shall* decide on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders. *Those* implementing *acts* shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 33a (2).

*Amendment*

***2. Where no other measures are capable of effectively mitigating the serious threat identified, the Commission shall transmit to the European Parliament and to the Council a recommendation assessing the necessity of the reintroduction of border control at internal borders, as a measure of last resort, to protect common interests within the area without internal border controls. The European Parliament and the Council may present their opinions within one month after which the Commission may decide on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders by means of an implementing act. That implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 33a (2).***

## Amendment 33

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 26 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The Commission *shall decide on the prolongation of border control at internal borders. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 33a (2).*

*Amendment*

***3. The Commission may recommend a prolongation in accordance with the same conditions and procedures, acting in accordance with paragraphs 1 and 2.***

## Amendment 34

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 1

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 26 – paragraph 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. On duly justified grounds of urgency, related to situations where the circumstances giving rise to the need to prolong border control at internal borders, in accordance with paragraph 3, do not become known until less than 10 days before the *planned prolongation*, the Commission shall adopt immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 33a(3).

*Amendment*

4. On duly justified grounds of urgency, related to situations where the circumstances giving rise to the need to prolong border control at internal borders, in accordance with paragraph 3, do not become known until, less than 10 days before the *end of the preceding reintroduction period*, the Commission shall adopt immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 33a(3).

## Amendment 35

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 3

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 29 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***Report on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders***

*Amendment*

***Accountability***

## Amendment 36

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – point 3

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 29 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*At* the latest four weeks after the lifting of border control at internal borders, the

*Amendment*

***1. In order to enhance the dialogue between the European Parliament, the***

Member State which has carried out border control at internal borders shall present a report to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders, outlining, in particular, the operation of the checks *and* the effectiveness of the reintroduction of border control at internal borders.

*Council and the Commission, and to ensure greater transparency and accountability at the latest four weeks after the lifting of border control at internal borders, the Member State which has carried out border control shall present a report to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on the reintroduction of border control at internal borders, outlining, in particular, the operation of the checks, the effectiveness of the reintroduction of border control at internal borders and the proportionality of the measures taken.*

### **Amendment 37**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 1 – point 3**

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 29 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*1a. The Commission shall present to the European Parliament and to the Council, at least annually, a report on the functioning of the area without internal border controls. The report shall include a list of all decisions to reintroduce controls at internal borders taken during the year covered.*

### **Amendment 38**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 1 – point 3**

Regulation (EC) No 562/2006

Article 29 – paragraph 1 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*1b. The representatives of the Member States which have reintroduced internal border controls referred to in paragraph 1 shall be invited to the presentation of the*



*report referred to in paragraph 2.*